REMARKS

Claims 2 and 5, indicated to be directed to allowable subject matter, have

been rewritten in independent form, without change of scope, as new claims 10 and

13 respectively, and are believed to be allowable as now presented.

Claims 3 and 4, also indicated to be directed to allowable subject matter,

have been re-presented as new claims 11 and 12 respectively, for proper

dependency in view of the rewriting of claim 2 in independent form.

In claims 10-13, clamping "plates" has been changed to clamping "elements"

for consistency of terminology.

The rejected claims, 1 and 6-9, have been amended to clarify the significant

distinctions over the prior art and are now believed to be clearly allowable.

The primary reference, Miller 2,759,574 is directed to an entirely different

type of device than is defined by the amended claims. Miller is directed to a

construction of a slat or vane for a Venetian blind. It is a purely static assembly.

The applicant's device, on the other hand, is directed to an operable clamping

mechanism for fixing the position of a slide in a desired position along a channel.

Claim 1, as now amended, calls for a slide track channel having

"spaced apart sidewalls, a bottom and an open top,

and having a width at the bottom geater than a

width at the top to form a restricted entrance

opening".

Claim 1 further calls for a pair of clamping elements having inner end

portions engageable with portions of the sidewall "spaced farther apart than at said

entrance". This is, of course, specifically contrary to the Miller reference, in which

the channel is widest at its opening and converges toward the bottom.

The "fulcrum element" called in applicant's claim 1 is entirely different in

purpose and function than the element (tips of item 14) which the Examiner has

equated to a fulcrum element. In the applicant's device, the clamping plates pivot

about the fulcrum element, so that closing pressure applied to outer portions of the

clamping elements cause inner portions of the clamping element to pivot into locking

relation to the channel sidewalls. Nothing similar to that is intended in the Miller

reference, in which the item 14 is simply a wedging clamp, and the elements 11, 12

do not, and are not intended to, pivot in any way with reference to the wedge-

shaped spreader 14.

Applicant's claim 1 further calls for a means for applying closing pressure to

the <u>outer portions</u> of the clamping elements, to forcibly urge the inner portions

thereof to pivot outwardly against sidewalls of the channel to lock the mechanism

Serial No. 10/646,200

Page 8 of 10

against sliding movement. There is no similar or corresponding structure or function

disclosed or suggested in the Miller reference.

Claim 6 is similar to claim1, but somewhat broader in calling for "a pivot"

between the upper and lower portions of the clamping plate elements. The claim

calls for the slide track to have sidewalls with inner portions thereof spaced farther

apart than outer portions and also calls for means to forcibly converge upper

portions of the clamping plate elements, to forcibly urge the lower edges thereof

outward against inner portions of the sidewalls. As in the case of claim 1, this is

different in function, purpose and structure from the disclosure of the Miller

reference, and it is believed that claim 6 is clearly patentable over the Miller

reference.

Claims 7-9, being dependent directly or indirectly on claim 6, are believed to

be allowable for the reasons expressed above.

Thus, in the absence of a discovery of more relevant prior art, it is believed

that the application in the form now presented is allowable in all respects, and an

early action to that effect is requested.

Respectfully sub

respection su

ritz V Schweitzer

Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 17,402

Customer No. 022831

Serial No. 10/646,200

Page 9 of 10



New York NY 10017 Tel.: 646-424-0770

Fax.: 646-424-0880

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Response, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below:

June 22, 2004 ROMANA MANANA MA

Rosemarie Mannino